

MAPS STUDY RECRUITMENT

Be part of an innovative research study focused on measuring success in long-standing community-based participatory research (CBPR) partnerships



Partnerships may receive up to \$2000 for participating in a survey

Eligible Partnerships:

- In existence for 6+ years
- U.S. based
- Include both community and academic partners
- Follow CBPR principles (e.g., equitable partnership, shared power and decision-making, mutually beneficial to all partners, co-learning process, focus on research and action/social change, long-term commitment).*





Purpose & Background:

There is limited research which defines and assesses the meaning and impact of CBPR partnership success and contributing factors in long-standing CBPR partnerships (in existence for six plus years), and few validated measures.

The MAPS study will engage longstanding CBPR partnerships aimed at validating a survey questionnaire and better understanding success and associated indicators of partnership success.

Benefits of Participation:

- Up to \$2000 per partnership for participating
- Feedback on their partnerships success and strategies for addressing factors identified
- Contribute to the field of research on CBPR partnerships

IRB Statement:

The MAPS study was approved as exempt by the University of Michigan Institutional Review Board (IRB).

Please Join Us!

For the opportunity to learn more about what makes your partnership a success, contact the MAPS research team (email and phone below).

Recruitment is ongoing, effective November 2018.

FOR QUESTIONS AND MORE INFORMATION: Eliza Wilson-Powers, MAPS Research Team Member
Measurement Approaches to Partnership Success (MAPS) Research Study
Detroit Community-Academic Urban Research Center
University of Michigan
1415 Washington Heights
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109-2029

maps.recruitment@umich.edu

T: (734) 763-0412

^{*} Israel, B. A., Schulz, A. J., Parker, E. A., & Becker, A. B. (1998). Review of community-based research: assessing partnership approaches to improve public health. Annual review of public health, 19(1), 173-202.