

## DETROIT COMMUNITY-ACADEMIC URBAN RESEARCH CENTER

## CLOSED-ENDED SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE FOR BOARD EVALUATION, 1997-2007

Established in 1995, the Detroit Community-Academic Urban Research Center (<a href="www.detroiturc.org">www.detroiturc.org</a>) is a community-based participatory research (CBPR) partnership conducting research and interventions to reduce and ultimately eliminate health inequities in Detroit. Our partnership involves the University of Michigan Schools of Public Health, Nursing, and Social Work, the Detroit Department of Health and Wellness Promotion, eight community-based organizations (Community Health and Social Services Center CHASS, Communities In Schools, Detroiters Working for Environmental Justice, Detroit Hispanic Development Corporation, <a href="Friends of Parkside">Friends of Parkside</a>, Latino Family Services, Neighborhood Service Organization, Warren-Conner Development Coalition), and Henry Ford Health System.

Please use the following references when citing this questionnaire:

Israel, B.A., Lantz, P. M., McGranaghan, R., Kerr, D., & Guzman, J. R. 'Documentation and evaluation of community-based participatory research partnerships: The use of in-depth interviews and closed-ended questionnaires'. In B.A. Israel, E. Eng, A.J. Schulz & E. Parker (eds.), *Methods for Conducting Community-Based Participatory Research for Health* (pp. 255-257). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass. (2005)

Israel, B.A., Lantz, P. M., McGranaghan, R., Kerr, D., & Guzman, J. R. 'Detroit Community-Academic Urban Research Center: Closed-Ended Survey Questionnaire for Board Evaluation, 1997-2002'. Appendix H. *Methods for Conducting Community-Based Participatory Research for Health* (pp. 430-433). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass. (2005)

For more information please contact:

Robert J. McGranaghan, M.P.H. Project Manager University of Michigan School of Public Health 1415 Washington Heights Ann Arbor, MI 48109-2029 (734) 764-5171 (734) 763-7379 (fax) rojomcg@umich.edu

## **Detroit Community Academic Urban Research Center**

Closed-Ended Survey Questionnaire for Board evaluation, 1997-2002

General Satisfaction	1997	1999	2001	2002
1. I am generally satisfied with the activities and progress of the URC during the past year.	X	X	X	X
2. I have a sense of ownership in what the URC does and accomplishes.	X	X	X	X
3. I am satisfied with the types of proposals that the URC has submitted.		X	X	X
4. I am satisfied with the types of projects that the URC has implemented.		X	X	X
5. I frequently think of having my organization sever its affiliation with the URC.	X	X	X	X
6. I have adequate knowledge of the URC budget, URC resources, and how resources are allocated.		X	X	X
7. Thus far, the URC has distributed available resources in a fair and equitable manner.	X	X	X	X
8. I would like to have more input regarding the allocation of URC resources.		X	X	X
9. The Board of the URC has been effective in achieving its goals.	X	X	X	X
10. The URC can have a positive effect on the community.	X	X	X	X
11. Participation in the URC has increased my knowledge and understanding of the other organizations represented.		X	X	X
Impact	1997	1999	2001	2002
12. I have increased my knowledge of family and community health issues during the past year.	X	X	X	X
13. Participation in the URC has increased my organization's capacity to conduct community based research.		X	X	X
14. My organization uses knowledge generated by the URC.		X	X	X

	1997	1999	2001	2002
15. I believe that other, non-member health and human service agencies in the Detroit area know about the URC and its initiatives.		X	X	X
16. I believe that other, non-member health and human service agencies in the Detroit area use knowledge generated by the URC.		X	X	X
17. The URC has been effective in informing policy makers and key government officials about the URC and its initiatives.		X	X	X
18. It is important that policy makers and key government officials are informed about the URC and its initiatives.		X	X	X
Trust	1997	1999	2001	2002
19. Relationships among URC Board members go beyond the individuals at the table, to include member organizations.		X	X	X
20. I am comfortable requesting assistance from other Board members when I feel that their input could be of value.		X	X	X
21. I can talk openly and honestly at the URC Board meetings.	X	X	X	X
22. I am comfortable expressing my point of view at URC Board meetings.	X	X	X	X
23. I am comfortable bringing up new ideas at the URC Board meetings.	X	X	X	X
24. URC Board members respect each other's points of view even if they might disagree.	X	X	X	X
25. My opinion is listened to and considered by other Board members.	X	X	X	X
26. In the past year, my willingness to speak and express my opinions at Board meetings has: increased, stayed same, decreased, don't know		X	X	X
27. Over the past year, the amount of trust between URC Board members has: increased, stayed same, decreased, don't know		X	X	X

	1997	1999	2001	2002
28. In the past year, the URC Board members' capacity to work well together has: increased, stayed same, decreased, don't know	X	X	X	X
29. How much trust is there between partners now? A lot, moderate amount, not much, don't know		X	X	X
30. In the next year, how much trust do you expect to see between partners? A lot, moderate amount, not much, don't know			X	X
URC Board Decisions	1997	1999	2001	2002
31. I am satisfied with the way in which the URC Board makes decisions.			X	X
32. All Board members have a voice in decisions made by the group.			X	X
33. It often takes the URC Board too long to reach a decision.			X	X
34. Decisions about URC resources are made in a fair manner.			X	X
35. URC Board members work well together to solve problems.			X	X
Community-Based Participatory Research and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	1997	1999	2001	2002
36. The URC is following its own community-based research principles in its projects.		X	X	X
37. Community interests are well represented in URC activities.		X	X	X
38. CDC staff in Atlanta play an important role in helping the URC foster and develop community-based participatory research.		X	X	X
39. The CDC is supportive of what we are trying to do in our URC.		X	X	X
Organization and Structure of Meeting	1997	1999	2001	2002
40. I find URC Board meetings useful.		X	X	X
41. The URC Board meetings are well organized.	X	X	X	X
42. We discuss important issues at URC meetings				X

	1997	1999	2001	2002
43. I wish we spent more time at Board meetings hearing about and discussing URC projects.			X	X
44. The Board meetings are held too frequently.	X	X	X	X
45. We do not accomplish very much at URC Board meetings.				X
46. I believe that we adequately address all of the agenda items at the URC meetings.	X	X	X	X
47. When I want to place something on meeting agenda, I am comfortable with the process.	X	X	X	X
48. I would like more of a voice in determining agenda items for the URC Board meetings.	X	X	X	X
49. When the URC Board makes decisions, appropriate follow-up action is taken staff.	X	X	X	X
50. When the URC Board makes decisions, appropriate follow-up action is taken by URC Board members.		X	X	X
51. Certain individuals' opinions get weighed more than they should.	X	X	X	X
52. One person or group dominates at URC Board meetings.	X	X	X	X

## References

Lantz, P.M., Viruell-Fuentes, E.,. Israel, B.A., Softley, D. & Ricardo Guzman, J.R.. (2001). Can communities and academia work together on public health research? Evaluation results from a community-based participatory research partnership in Detroit. *Journal of Urban Health*, 78 (3), 495-507.

Schulz, A.J., Israel, B.A. & Lantz, P.M. (2003). Instrument for evaluating dimensions of group dynamics within community-based participatory research partnerships. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 26, 249-26